

This weekend an organization called Freedom Alliance is going to have a number of specials on this on the Fox News Channel, and I hope all of my colleagues have a chance to see this.

The Freedom Alliance founder and Honorary Chairman, Lieutenant Colonel Oliver North, will be hosting a 60-minute documentary this weekend on the Fox News channel outlining the bloody battle, Iwo Jima during World War II.

He will interview survivors from Iwo Jima and Marines who played crucial roles in the pivotal battle in the Pacific for the special which is entitled War Stories with Oliver North.

This will air three times on the Fox News channel over Memorial Day weekend. I urge all of my colleagues to watch. The times and dates are as follows: on Saturday, May 26 at 10 p.m. Eastern; 7 p.m. Pacific it will be on; Sunday, May 27, 8 p.m. Eastern; 5 p.m. Pacific; and Monday, May 28, noon Eastern, 9 a.m. Pacific.

The battle for Iwo Jima which was fought during February and March of 1945 was one of the bloodiest battles of World War II, nearly 7,000 U.S. military personnel lost their lives and 16,000 were wounded. Most of them were Marines.

Mr. Speaker, when the island was secured on February 23, 1945, five Marines and one Navy Corpsman raised the Stars and Stripes on Mt. Suribachi, the highest point on the island. Associated Press photographer Joe Rosenthal captured the historic moment on film and the Marines Corps War Memorial, which now stands at the north end of Arlington National Cemetery in Washington, was sculpted from that famous photograph.

This fascinating and informative television special this weekend is worth all of our time. I hope my colleagues will watch it.

On this Memorial Day, Oliver North and the Freedom Alliance salute all the men and women of our Armed Forces whose lives were taken in the defense of America's liberty. We continue to pray also for the safety of our soldiers, sailors, airmen and Marines who serve today.

Mr. Speaker, I hope all of my colleagues will take the time this weekend to watch this very important.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. CAPITO). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. LIPINSKI) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. LIPINSKI addressed the House. His remarks will appear here after in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. SCHAFER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. SCHAFER addressed the House. His remarks will appear here after in the Extensions of Remarks.)

#### REBUTTING ARGUMENTS OF MOTION TO INSTRUCT ON H.R. 1836

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SHERMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise to use these 5 minutes to rebut some of the recent comments of the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMAS), chairman of the Committee on Ways and Means.

He stood here and he urged that the House not vote for the motion to instruct put forward by the Democratic side. His argument was that that motion committed this House to provide for alternative minimum tax relief, marriage penalty relief, R&D credit extension, and that the Democratic tax alternative had not provided for each of those items.

Let me put it into context. The Democrats came here with an alternative that provided only \$750 billion. It sounds odd, only \$750 billion, but that is a much smaller sum than the \$1.35 trillion that the Republican tax bill provides.

My colleagues can be certain that if we Democrats had thought the country could afford a \$1.35 trillion tax cut, that we would not have left out AMT relief, and we would never come to this floor and give with the right hand income tax relief and then take it back with the alternative minimum tax, the portions of the Internal Revenue Code that do not apply to many Americans today, but will apply under the tax bill brought forward by the majority.

We Democrats would not come with a \$1.35 trillion tax cut that left out pension reform or left out the R&D tax credit. A number of Republicans did not vote for that motion to instruct, but I urge them to work behind the scenes to make sure that the conference follows those instructions, otherwise that conference will be tempted to put virtually all of that \$1.35 trillion in tax relief in the hands of the wealthiest 1 percent of Americans and to leave out pension reform, to leave the IRAs at a mere 2K instead of the \$5,000 that should be allowed.

That conference committee will be tempted to leave out marriage penalty relief or to leave ordinary working families subject to an alternative minimum tax that was never designed to apply to them. That conference committee may be tempted to do so because they will believe that they can provide \$1.35 trillion in tax relief to the very wealthy and then come back again with another tax cut bill for the AMT and another tax cut bill to extend the R&D tax credit, but beware, the Senate may be in other hands very soon.

We may have a majority leader who says that \$1.35 trillion is all the tax relief that America can afford. We may have 41 Senators not willing to end debate on any bill that expands that tax cut to way beyond what is prudent. So the tax bill my colleagues vote for

today or tomorrow or at the end of this week may be the only tax relief bill you vote for. If that bill provides only huge cuts to the very wealthy and does not deal with the AMT and the R&D tax credit, does not provide any estate tax relief, although I think my colleagues can be pretty sure it will in that one area, if that one bill leaves the IRA at a mere 2K, then my colleagues' constituents will say we heard about the big tax cut, where is ours?

My colleagues will have to say I did not vote for the Democratic motion to instruct, and we ended up with a \$1.3 trillion tax cut that left you out. I could have done something about it, but I did not because I wanted to stick with my party.

We may only have one tax cut bill this year. We may have only one tax cut bill this Congress, and I hope that those on the other side will work behind the scenes, will have access to the bipartisan conference that is really drawing the tax bill, and will say do not leave these critical elements out and do not assume that you can feast on appetizers now and eat the meal later.

The diet only provides for \$1.35 trillion in tax cuts, but then the gentleman from Kern County went on to make some statements not about the motion to recommit but rather about the energy crisis in California. And I am sure he will be here tomorrow to explain or retract his remarks, but he said that California should not get any relief because our wounds are self-inflicted.

Do not join the California haters, allow California to regulate the wholesale price of electricity and do not say that our people should suffer on the theory that our wounds are self-inflicted. We will be back an hour from now to detail this energy crisis and explain how the wounds of California are inflicted upon us by mega-corporations based in Texas and the only mistake we made was to trust, to trust those companies who are now taking advantage of this situation.

□ 2130

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. CAPITO). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFAZIO) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DEFAZIO addressed the House. His remarks will appear here after in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. ENGEL addressed the House. His remarks will appear here after in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. RUSH) is recognized for 5 minutes.